Epenthetic Vowels in Japanese: A Perceptual Illusion?
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In 4 cross-linguistic experiments comparing French and Japanese listeners, we found that the phonotactic properties of Japanese (a reduced set of syllable types) induce Japanese listeners to perceive “illusory” vowels inside consonant clusters in vowel-consonant-consonant-vowel (VCCV) stimuli. In Experiments 1 and 2, a continuum of stimuli ranging from no vowel (e.g., ebuko) to a full vowel between the consonants (e.g., ebuco) was used. Japanese, but not French participants, reported the presence of a vowel [u] between consonants, even in stimuli with no vowel. A speeded ABX discrimination paradigm was used in Experiments 3 and 4 and revealed that Japanese participants had trouble discriminating between VCCV and VCuCV stimuli. French participants, in contrast, had problems discriminating items that differed in
In this article, we focus on a similar phenomenon in Japanese. As we indicated earlier, the Japanese language disallows complex consonant clusters. This is a consequence of the language’s simple syllable structure: Indeed, the complete syllable inventory of Japanese consists of vowel (V), VV, consonant-vowel (CV), consonant-vowel-nasal (CVN), and CVQ (where Q is the first half of a germinate consonant). This can be illustrated by loan words, that is, words of foreign origin that were changed to conform to the Japanese pattern (see below; Hō & Mester, 1995):

- fight → faito
- festival → fesutibaru
- sphinx → sufiNKusu
- Zeitgeist → tsaitogaisuto

As we can see, [u] or [o] is inserted after every syllable-final consonant (except for nasal consonants). Why do the Japanese insert vowels in loan words? A first possibility is that this phenomenon (called vowel epenthesis) arises in speech production. Perhaps Japanese speakers have, to some extent, lost or fail to develop the ability to articulate consonant clusters and therefore tend to insert vowels to trigger the more practiced CV motor programs. A second possibility is orthography: Kanji orthographic characters, by and large, are pronounced as either [n], V, or CV. Hence, there is no Japanese character or combination of characters (in the kanji system) that can spell an item like /sfinks/ or any other item with a consonant cluster that does not include nasals. In contrast, /sufinksu/ can easily be spelled in Japanese. Could it be that Japanese speakers modify foreign words by inserting epenthetic vowels so that they can be spelled in their language? In this article, we explore a third possible account, according to which vowel epenthesis can occur at the perceptual level. Assessing the perceptual reality of epenthesis is important because it bears on the role of phonotactics in speech perception.

What evidence exists that phonotactic constraints play a role in perception? Adults have clear intuitions about permissible sequences. For example, English speakers know that “mba” is not a possible English word. Jusczyk, Friederici, Wessels, Svenkerud, and Jusczyk (1993) and Jusczyk, Luce, and Charles-Luce (1994) have shown that 9-month-old infants are sensitive to the phonotactic patterns of the words in their language, and some researchers have argued that such regularities could be useful in helping the child to discover words (Brent & Cartwright, 1996; Hayes & Clark, 1970). Massaro and Cohen (1983) investigated the influence of phonotactic constraints on phoneme perception. They used the fact that /sli/ and /fri/ are not allowed in English but that /sli/ and /fri/ are allowed. They synthesized a series of stimuli ranging from /s/ to /f/ and presented them to participants in the /li/ and /ri/ context. There was a significant shift in the identification functions between the two contexts, demonstrating that participants tend to hear segments that respect the phonotactics of their language.

Notice, however, that Massaro and Cohen’s study (1983) demonstrates only an effect on ambiguous stimuli. It would be desirable to demonstrate the influence of phonotactics on end point (unambiguous) stimuli. Second, their study was conducted with a single language, leaving open the possibility that some of the effects might be found in all speakers regardless of their native language. Hallé, Segui, Frauenfelder, and Meunier (1998), using natural stimuli and various tasks, showed that illegal French syllables such as /dla/ tend to be assimilated to legal ones, such as /gla/. Again, that study was conducted within a single language, leaving open the possibility that part of the observed effect might have been due to universal properties of phonetic perception.

Here, we further explored the role of phonotactics on perception using a methodology that involved nondegraded speech stimuli and a cross-linguistic design. We investigated the perceptual reality of epenthesis using an off-line phoneme detection task (Experiments 1 and 2) and two speeded ABX tasks (Experiments 3 and 4). We tested the same stimuli on two populations: native Japanese speakers and native French speakers. French has complex syllabic structures and hence should not trigger epenthetic effects. Comparing the performances of French and Japanese participants on exactly the same materials allowed us to assess how language experience would influence the perception of these stimuli.

Experiment 1

The aim of this experiment was to assess the extent of the epenthesis effect. We created nonword stimuli that formed a continuum ranging from trisyllabic tokens such as ebuu to disyllabic tokens such as ebzo by progressively removing acoustic correlates of the vowel from the original stimuli. We selected our materials so that the word internal consonant clusters would always yield an epenthetic [u] in Japanese (i.e., the first consonant of the cluster was not a nasal or a dental stop). French and Japanese participants were then asked to decide whether the vowel [u] was present in the stimuli. No overt production of the stimuli was needed. If the epenthesis effect has a perceptual basis, Japanese participants should report the presence of [u] more often than French listeners.

Method

Participants. Ten Japanese and 10 French native speakers volunteered to participate in Experiment 1. All the participants were college students. The French participants were recruited in Paris and the Japanese at Nagoya University. None of the Japanese

---

1 The inserted vowel is most often [u], except after a dental stop, in which case it is an [o] (see Shinohara, 1997, for a more complete discussion).

2 McClelland and Elman (1986) claimed that such effects are not due to phonotactics per se but to top-down word to phoneme activation during perception (see Massaro & Cohen, 1991, for a reply).
participants had studied French, and none of the French participants had studied Japanese.

**Materials.** Ten sequences of VC1uVC2V (V = four Japanese vowels excluding [u], C1 = voiced and voiceless stops, and C2 = nasals and voiced obstruents) uttered by a male Japanese speaker were used as the stimulus items (see the Appendix). None of the stimulus items constituted a meaningful word in French or in Japanese.

The stimuli were digitized at 16 kHz/16 bits on a PC-compatible computer using an OROS AU22 A/D board. Five different files were then created from each original item by splicing out pitch periods of the medial vowel [u] at zero crossings. Stimulus 1 contained little or no vowel [u] (most of the transitions in and out of the vowel were also removed). Stimulus 2 contained the two most extreme pitch periods of the vowel (i.e., one from the transition of the first consonant to the vowel [u] and another from the end part of [u] into the following consonant). Stimulus 3 contained the four most extreme pitch periods (two on each side); similarly, Stimulus 4 contained six pitch periods and Stimulus 5 contained eight pitch periods. Stimulus 6 was the original stimulus in which the number of pitch periods varied from 10 to 13 across items (10.7 periods on average). The average overall duration of one pitch period in the [u] vowels in each item was 9.06 ms. There were a total of 60 stimuli in one session.

**Procedure.** Participants were instructed to listen to the stimuli through headphones and to judge whether there was a [u] vowel in the middle of each stimulus word. The stimuli were played on a Sony digital audiotape player. The participants were provided with an answer sheet and asked to draw a circle for “yes” and a cross for “no.” We emphasized that the experiment was not aimed at measuring their linguistic skills and that the number of yes and no answers need not be balanced. Japanese participants were additionally told that the [u] target in the experiment was not meant to be equivalent to the kana character “ッ” which represents the whole syllable “u,” but to the sound [u] as it appears inside syllables such as “bu,” “pu,” or “mu.” Each participant heard the list three times with all the stimuli sets differently randomized each time.

The French participants were given a similar setup and instructions, except that stimulus presentation and response recording was performed on a PC-compatible with a Proadsone Spectrum 16 digital-to-analog board. Participants were required to press the O key for yes (“oui”) responses and the N key for no (“non”) responses.

**Results**

The mean percentages of vowel responses as a function of language and vowel length are shown in Figure 1. We performed two analyses of variance (ANOVA) on percentages of vowel responses, one with participants and one with items as random variables. Language (Japanese or French) was a between-subjects factor and vowel length, a within-subjects factor (with six levels). In the following and in all subsequent analyses, we report the MinF1 statistics when they were significant (p < .05) and the F1 and F2 statistics otherwise.

Overall, there was a significant language effect, MinF1(1, 25) = 25.10, p < .001, with the Japanese participants providing more vowel responses than the French participants. There was also a significant vowel length effect, MinF2(5, 100) = 56.18, p < .001, which had a significant linear component, MinF2(1, 20) = 152.32, p < .001, in that longer vowels yielded more vowel responses than shorter vowels. There was an interaction between language and the linear component of vowel length, MinF1(1, 26) = 128.62, p < .001, corresponding to the fact that the French participants were much more influenced by vowel length than the Japanese. However, even in the Japanese participants, the linear component of vowel length was significant, MinF2(1, 18) = 11.03, p < .005.

We ran pairwise comparisons between the two languages for each vowel length. For the first three vowel lengths (0, 18, and 36 ms), Japanese participants gave significantly more vowel responses than French participants (all MinF1 Bonferroni corrected p < .006). For the fourth vowel length (54 ms), there was only a trend in the same direction, F(1, 18) = 5.40, p < .04; F2(1, 9) = 3.67, p = .088. A significant difference between the two populations did not appear for the last two vowel lengths (72 ms and full vowel).

**Discussion**

In this experiment, Japanese and French participants judged the presence or absence of the vowel [u] in stimuli containing varying extents of the acoustic correlates of the vowel. French participants were able to judge that the vowel was absent in the えほ case and present in the えばこ case, with a monotonic function for the intermediate cases. The cutoff point for the French participants (i.e., the point at which they judged the vowel to be present in 50% of the cases) could be estimated at just over four pitch periods (38 ms) of the vowel. In contrast, Japanese participants predominately judged that the vowel was present at all levels of vowel length. Like the French, Japanese vowel responses showed a steady decrease as a function of decreasing vowel length—which showed that they were sensitive to manipulation in vowel length—but the slope was much less sharp. Even at the extreme of the continuum where the vowel had

---

3 The linear component is defined as a zero-sum linear set of coefficients applied across the six levels of vowel length (see Winer, Brown, & Michels, 1991, pp. 148, 198–210).
been removed, they still reported that the vowel was present in more than 70% of the cases. At this point, we raise the following caveat. Even though we digitally removed the vocalic [u] portions of VCuCV stimuli, it is unlikely that it was completely deleted. Indeed, coarticulatory information for the rounded vowel was likely to be present throughout the portion of acoustic signal for the surrounding consonants. It may be that unlike French, Japanese listeners have developed a fine capacity for perceiving short vowels. One reason might be that the [u] vowel occasionally gets devolved (Beekman, 1982; Keating & Hoffman, 1984). Another reason is that the speaker that we used in Experiment 1 was Japanese, and he therefore might have introduced coarticulation cues in the adjacent consonants that were especially salient to Japanese hearers.

Therefore, even though we identified a perceptual difference between members of two language communities, the difference might not be due to phonotactics. The next experiment was designed to address this issue.

**Experiment 2**

In Experiment 2 we used a paradigm similar to the one used in Experiment 1 with the following modifications: We recorded a speaker and digitally generated stimuli, continue to those used in the previous experiment (ebuzo-ebzo). In addition, we recorded two extra conditions: one condition with no vowel, that is, a naturally produced consonant cluster (ebzo), and one condition with a vowel different from [u] (ebizo). This last condition was introduced to measure baseline performance.

If the results of Experiment 1 were due to coarticulation information about the vowel on the adjacent consonants, then we would expect that Japanese [u] responses on the naturally produced ebzo should drop to the baseline level. If, in contrast, the obtained effects were genuinely due to the naturally produced [u], we might expect as many [u] responses as the artificially produced ones, or more.

Ten Japanese and 10 French native speakers participate in Experiment 2. All participants were over 18 years old, and none were familiar with the stimuli used in the previous experiments.

The procedure was identical to the one used in Experiment 1. Each subject heard each list of stimuli three times. Each condition was presented 10 times, and all conditions were presented in random order. The stimuli were presented through a PC-compatible computer with a Prolific Spectrum digital-to-analog board using the Expe program (Pallier, Dupoux, & Jeannerod, 1997). The participants had to press one key if the [u] vowel was present and another if it was absent. Otherwise, the same procedure as in Experiment 1 was used.

**Results**

The mean percentages of vowel responses as a function of language and vowel length are shown in Figure 2. We performed two sets of analyses. The first set is identical to that used in Experiment 1 and analyzes the effect of the six levels of vowel length that were produced from digitally editing the V1C1uCV2 stimuli. In the second set of analyses we tested the effect of coarticulation more directly and compared the natural V1C1C1V2, the digital V1C1C1V2, and the baseline V1C1C1V2 stimuli with one another.

**Effect of vowel length.** We performed two ANOVAs on the percentages of vowel responses, one with participants and one with items as random variables. Language (Japanese or French) was a between-subjects factor and vowel length a within-subjects factor (with six levels).

Overall, there was a significant language effect, MinF'(1, 47) = 10.25, p < .004, with the Japanese participants providing more vowel responses than the French participants. There was also a significant vowel length effect, MinF'(5, 127) = 36.50, p < .0001, which had a significant linear component, MinF'(1, 26) = 74.99, p < .0001, in that subjects produced at least one vowel response.

**Method**

**Participants.** Ten Japanese and 10 French native speakers volunteered to participate. The subjects were college students. The Japanese and the Japanese participants had studied French, and none of the French participants had studied Japanese.

**Materials.** We used the same 10 sequences of V1C1uCV2 stimuli as in Experiments 1 and 2, but we added four stimuli corresponding to V1C1uCV2 stimuli with [u] vowels and from V1 and V2. The stimuli were presented using a computer program written in Visual Basic and presented on a male French speaker who read the words. The words were meaningful in both languages.

The stimuli were generated on a computer using a PC-compatible computer using a PC-compatible computer, and we digitally generated the stimuli by splicing together the transitions in and out of the vowel.
\( p < .001 \), corresponding to the fact that the French participants were much more influenced by vowel length than the Japanese. However, even in the Japanese participants, the linear component of vowel length was significant, \( \text{MinF}'(1, 12) = 10.19, p < .008 \).

We ran pairwise comparisons between the two languages for each vowel length. For the first three vowel lengths (0, 15, and 29 ms), Japanese participants gave significantly more vowel responses than French participants (all \( \text{MinF}' \) Bonferroni corrected \( p < .02 \)). For the fourth vowel length (44 ms), there was only a trend in the same direction (Bonferroni-corrected \( p = .10 \)). A significant difference between the two populations did not appear for the last two vowel lengths (58 ms and full vowel).

**Effect of coarticulation.** We performed two ANOVAs on the percentages of vowel responses, one with participants and one with items as random variables. Language (Japanese or French) was a between-subjects factor and stimulus type, a within-subjects factor (with three levels: natural clust, digital clust, and different vowel).

We found an overall effect of language, \( \text{MinF}'(1, 25) = 19.77, p < .001 \), stimulus type \( \text{MinF}'(2, 53) = 17.99, p < .001 \), and an interaction between them, \( \text{MinF}'(2, 54) = 13.34, p < .001 \). Individual post hoc contrasts revealed that this interaction was due to the fact that for the French participants, the natural cluster condition was not different from the baseline condition (\( F_s < 1 \)), whereas the digital clust condition elicited slightly but significantly more \( [u] \) responses than either baseline or natural clusters (\( p < .03 \)). In contrast, for the Japanese listeners, stimuli in both the natural and digital clusters condition elicited considerably and significantly more \( [u] \) responses than did baseline stimuli (\( p < .0001 \)), and the two kinds of clusters did not differ from each other (\( F_s < 1 \)).

**Discussion**

In this experiment, we replicated the pattern found in Experiment 1. Moreover, we found that this pattern of results could not be attributed to coarticulatory cues left in the original Japanese tokens. We used tokens produced by a French speaker and compared digitally produced clusters (which might have residual coarticulation information) and naturally produced clusters (which have no coarticulatory information for a vowel). We found that Japanese participants did not perceive more \( [u] \) vowels in digital than in natural clusters (in fact, there was a nonsignificant trend in the other direction). Hence, we observed that a majority of \( [u] \) responses did arise in Japanese participants even in the total absence of \( [u] \) information in the signal.

The results of Experiments 1 and 2 establish that, in a task involving no overt speech production, Japanese participants consistently report a vowel between two consonants in CC clusters. These experiments alone, however, cannot firmly establish the perceptual locus of the effect for two reasons. First, the task required participants to make an explicit metalinguistic judgment: Participants had to know what a vowel is to do the task. It is known that learning to read influences the way in which individual phonemic segments can be manipulated in a metalinguistic task (see Bertelson, 1986). Given that the writing systems of Japanese and French differ, it is possible that they differentially affect vowel judgments in Japanese and French participants. Second, the task did not use a speeded or on-line judgment. Therefore, it could not identify which of the different sources of information (e.g., the orthographic code, coarticulation, explicit strategies) influenced the participants' responses. For instance, it is possible that Japanese participants were reluctant to give a vowel-absent response simply because they knew that such stimuli do not occur in Japanese.

In the next two experiments, we used an ABX paradigm that required only identity judgments, thus involving no explicit or implicit mention of vowels. We also had participants perform a speeded response, thereby reducing the likelihood of them using complicated response strategies.

### Experiment 3

In this experiment we used a speeded ABX paradigm in which participants heard three stimuli in a row and had to decide whether the third stimulus was the same as the first or the second. If the findings of Experiments 1 and 2 have no perceptual basis but are instead a by-product of metalinguistic limitations in segment manipulation, Japanese participants should make few errors when discriminating between *ebu* and *eb* in *ebu* and *eb* in *ebu*. In fact, their performance should be indistinguishable from that of French participants. If, in contrast, the perceptual system inserts an epenthetic vowel to break up consonant clusters, Japanese participants should have trouble distinguishing stimuli such as *ebu* from stimuli such as *eb* because they will in fact “hear” the same thing twice. However, *eb* may be “heard” as containing a vowel with different acoustic and phonetic characteristics from the [u] in *ebu*. For this reason, in this experiment we chose to have different talkers produce the *X* stimuli and the other two stimuli (A and B), thereby forcing them to rely on a more abstract and phonological representation rather than on an acoustic and phonetic one. In Experiment 4 we specifically tested the effect of talker change.

Note, however, that comparing the mean performances of different groups of participants (i.e., testing whether Japanese participants were significantly better or worse than French participants on a given task) raises a methodological problem: It is difficult to match populations of participants in all possible respects other than native language. This is why we introduced a complete crossover design in which we made the opposite predictions across the two language groups.

This design was achieved by considering another property of the phonology of Japanese: In Japanese, vowel length is contrastive, such as *toki* ("watch") versus *tokei* ("statistics"). The long vowel is in fact perceived as two adjacent vowels. Therefore, Japanese participants should have no problem performing the ABX task on an *ebu*–*eb* contrast. In our stimuli, the *ebu*–*eb* contrast had a
difference in acoustic duration (95 ms) within the same range as the /ebez/–/ezbo/ contrast (89 ms).

By contrast, in French, vowel length is not contrastive. That is, no pairs of French words can be distinguished purely on the basis of the length of one vowel. The hypothesis under examination was that listeners would impose the phonology of their native language on unfamiliar linguistic stimuli, regardless of whether the stimuli were native or foreign. Hence, we predicted that French participants might have trouble making the /ebez/–/ebuzz/ contrast but that the Japanese would have no problem.

**Method**

**Participants.** Ten Japanese and 10 French participants participated in the experiment. All were recruited in Paris. The age of the Japanese participants ranged from 20 to 48 years (Mdn = 36). Two had no knowledge of French and knew some English. All had begun the study of foreign languages after 12 years of age. There were 4 men and 6 women in the group. The age of the French participants ranged from 20 to 50 years (Mdn = 24). None spoke Japanese, but they all had studied English in school. Like the Japanese participants, the French participants had begun studying a foreign language after 12 years of age. There were 9 men and 1 woman in the French group. The Japanese and French participants were all right-handed; they volunteered for the experiment, and no one was paid for his or her participation.

**Materials.** Sixteen triplets of the form (/ebez/, /ebuzz/, /ebuzz/) were constructed (see the Appendix). All triplets conformed to the model V₁C₁C₂V₂–V₁C₁UC₂V₂–V₁C₁UC₂V₂. The first consonants were from the set [b, k, g, j], the initial and final vowels were from the set [a, i, o, u], and the second consonants were from the set [s, d, g, n, m, f, t]. All stimuli were nonwords in both French and Japanese. All stimuli consisted of phonologically valid French syllables and, except for the first member of the triplets, valid Japanese syllables. Four additional triplets with the same phoneme range constraints as for /V₁C₁C₂V₂–V₁C₁UC₂V₂–V₁C₁UC₂V₂/ were used in the training set.

The materials consisting of the 20 triplets were recorded twice: once by a male Japanese speaker and once by a female Japanese speaker. The recordings were made in a sound-attenuated room and digitized at 16 kHz/16 bits on an OROS AU22 digital-to-analog board. Each stimulus was stored in a separate file using a waveform editor. It appeared that although our two Japanese speakers were fluent in French and had some training in phonetics, they could not be prevented from inserting a short vowel [u] within the consonant clusters in some of the /ebuzz/ stimuli. These /ebuzz/ stimuli were therefore edited with a waveform editor, and the vocalic part was progressively removed until a French listener found that he or she could no longer hear the [u] vowel. The three classes of stimuli had mean durations of 409 ms for /ebez/, 498 ms for /ebuzz/, and 593 ms for /ebuzz/.

Four training triplets. These contained the same conditions as in the experimental trials. The 128 experimental trials were split into two blocks, with each condition and item equally represented in each block.

**Procedure.** Each experimental trial consisted of the presentation of the three stimuli (A, B, and X), with an interstimulus interval of 0.5 s. Participants were asked to listen to a foreign language and to note the purpose of the experiment was to test their intuitions about the sounds of these words. They were told that the third word (X) was the same as one of the first two (A or B). Their task was to press a button on their right or left to indicate whether X was the same as A or B. Participants were given 4 s to respond. The trial ended immediately after the response or after the 4 s had elapsed. The next trial started 1 s later.

In the 16 training trials, participants received feedback about whether their response was correct. Feedback consisted of the word correct or incorrect or the string "The response is A" (or B) when participants failed to respond before the deadline. Feedback was displayed for 1 s and was then erased from the screen. For incorrect responses, the same trial was presented again immediately until the response was correct. In the two experimental blocks of 64 trials, no feedback was presented. The blocks were randomized separately for each individual participant. A short pause was introduced between the two experimental blocks. Responses were recorded and reaction times (RTs) measured from the onset of the X stimuli with the EXP trial software package (Pallier et al., 1997).

**Results**

Four ANOVAs were performed on the results: two on RT data by participant and by item and two on error data, again by participant and by item (RTs were analyzed only for correct responses). The ANOVAs had a 2 × 2 design: Language (French or Japanese) × Contrast (epenthesis or vowel length contrast). The means, standard error, and error rates are shown for each condition in Table 1.

The analysis of the RT data showed a highly significant interaction between language and contrast, MinF(1, 29) = 14.16, p < .001. This interaction was due to the fact that for the French participants, the vowel length contrast yielded longer RTs than the epenthesis contrast (RT difference = 171 ms), MinF(1, 19) = 12.01, p < .002, whereas for the Japanese participants, there was a trend in the other direction (RT difference = −105 ms), F(1, 9) = 4.52, p = .06; F(1, 15) = 7.84, p < .02. There was no main effect of language, F(1, 18) < 1, p > .1; F(2, 15) = 3.41, p < .05.

![Table 1](https://example.com/table1.png)

**Table 1.** Mean Reaction Time, Standard Error, and Error Rate in ARX: Interaction and a Vocal Length Contrast in French and Japanese Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vowel length contrast (ebuzz–ebuzzo)</th>
<th>Epenthesis contrast (ebuzz–ebuzzo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT (ms)</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** RT = reaction time.
level, Japanese participants are "deaf" to the difference between ebizo and ebzo. In this experiment, we also more closely examined two factors that may affect the size of the epenthesis effect: practice with the stimuli and the influence of experience with foreign languages by the participants.

The first variable we examined was the potential effect of practice. Experiment 3 was short (15 min). It could be that the observed effects were due to participants not being

The analysis of the error data showed the same pattern of

The analysis of the error data showed the same pattern of results. There was a highly significant interaction between language and contrast, \( F(1, 26) = 56.27, p < .001 \). This interaction was due to the fact that for French participants, the vowel length contrast was more difficult than the epenthesis contrast, \( F(1, 13) = 35.48, p < .001 \), whereas the length contrast was easier for the Japanese participants. However, this was significant only in the items analysis, \( F(1, 18) = 3.71, p = .08 \). Overall, Japanese participants tended to make more errors than the French participants, although this was significant only in the items analysis, \( F(1, 18) = 4.10, p = .06 \). The observed effect is reliable, and again, this seems to be due to the fact that participants were randomized and the blocks were counterbalanced so that potential sequential effects could be evaluated. If the epenthesis effect is reliable, we should find a negative correlation between effect size and sequential position. In addition, Japanese and French participants should have similar results in the final part of the experiment.

The second variable was experience with foreign languages. We had participants fill out a detailed biographical questionnaire concerning their language experience. We were particularly interested in the degree of fluency of our Japanese participants in a language that includes consonants (e.g., English or French). It could be that with exposure to such languages, speakers of Japanese learn to overcome the epenthesis effect. If so, we should find that the more proficient bilinguals would show less effect (or no effect) than the less proficient bilinguals or monolinguals.

Method

Participants. Twenty Japanese participants were recruited (10 in Paris, 8 in New York, and 2 in Nagoya) and tested individually in a quiet room. None of them had participated in the previous experiments. Their ages ranged from 22 to 40 years (\( Mdn = 29 \)). There were 14 women and 6 men in the group.

Twenty French participants recruited in Paris were tested on the same materials. None of them had participated in the previous experiments. Their ages ranged from 19 to 50 years (\( Mdn = 21.5 \)). There were 4 women and 16 men in the group.

Participants filled out a detailed biographical questionnaire about their experience with foreign languages. They also rated their own fluency and pronunciation in these languages on a 10-point scale. The questionnaire for the Japanese participants was in English. Moreover, their fluency in either French or English (or both) was subjectively assessed by a native speaker of French or English, respectively. Four Japanese participants did not fill out the questionnaire.

Materials. The same materials as in Experiment 3 were used. We used the same 128 AXB experimental trials of Experiment 3 (A and B stimuli spoken by the female talker and X stimuli by the male talker) and created another 128 trials with the Stimuli A, B, and X all spoken by the same male talker. In these last trials, X was acoustically identical to either A or B. The overall design was \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) (Contrast \( \times \) Order \( \times \) Identity \( \times \) Talker). The 256 trials were split into two blocks, each containing 124 trials: one with all A and B trials in a block, and the other with all X trials in a block. The order of blocks was counterbalanced across participants. The trials were presented in a random order, and each trial was preceded by a 500-ms silence. There was a 3,000-ms intertrial interval. In between blocks, a 45-second break was inserted. Each block was accompanied by a short explanation of what would happen in the upcoming block.
Results

The means, standard error, and error rates are shown in Table 2 for each condition. As in Experiment 3, we ran four ANOVAs, two by participants and two by items, on RTs and error rates, respectively, with language, talker, and contrast as experimental factors.

The analysis of the RT data showed that there was a highly significant interaction between language and contrast, MinF'(1, 53) = 14.81, p < .001. This interaction was due to the fact that for French participants, the vowel length contrast yielded significantly lower RTs than the epenthesis contrast (117 ms), MinF'(1, 34) = 14.33, p < .001, whereas for Japanese participants, there was a nonsignificant trend in the other direction (−27 ms, ps > .1). No other interaction was significant, except the interaction between language and talker, which was significant only in the items analysis, F1 < 1; F2(1, 15) = 16.10, p < .001.

There was a main effect of talker, with the same talker yielding faster RTs than the different talker (85 ms), MinF'(1, 52) = 12.00, p < .001. There was also a main effect of contrast, with the vowel length contrast on average yielding slower RTs than the epenthesis contrast (45 ms), F1(1, 38) = 7.83, p < .01; F2(1, 15) = 5.20, p < .04. Finally, Japanese talkers tended to have longer RTs than French participants, but this was significantly only in the items analysis (55 ms), F1 < 1; F2(1, 15) = 18.19, p < .001.

The analysis of the error data showed similar results. There was a highly significant interaction between language and contrast, MinF'(1, 40) = 34.11, p < .001. This interaction was due to the fact that for Japanese participants, the epenthesis contrast yielded significantly more errors than the vowel length contrast, MinF'(1, 31) = 22.05, p < .001, whereas for French participants, there was a significant effect in the other direction, MinF'(1, 33) = 8.62, p < .006. No other interaction reached significance.

Table 2
Mean Reaction Time, Standard Error, and Error Rate in ABX Judgments on an Epenthesis Contrast and a Vowel Length Contrast in Japanese Participants and French Participants in Experiment 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Vowel length (ebusyo–ebuso)</th>
<th>Epenthesis (ebusyo–ebzo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same talker</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different talker</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same talker</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different talker</td>
<td>1.225</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. RT = reaction time.

There was a main effect of talker, with the different-talker condition yielding more errors than the same-talker condition, MinF'(1, 36) = 6.50, p < .02. There was also a main effect of contrast, with the epenthesis contrast on average yielding more errors than the vowel length contrast, MinF'(1, 35) = 4.80, p < .04. Finally, Japanese talkers tended to make more errors than French participants, but this was significant only in the items analysis, F1(1, 38) = 3.41, .05 < p < .1; F2(1, 15) = 9.51, p < .01.

Influence of practice. We began our investigation of the effect of practice using a correlational analysis. For each participant, the sequence of RTs on experimental trials was partitioned into 16 successive bins of 16 data points. We found a significant negative correlation between sequential position and RT (R² = .67), F(1, 14) = 28.10, p < .001. We also found a significant negative correlation between sequential position and error rate (R² = .67), F(1, 14) = 28.02, p < .001. These effects showed that practice did have an impact and that participants improved their performance with time. We then computed the numerical size of the interaction between language and contrast (i.e., epenthesis-in-Japanese + vowel-length-in-French − epenthesis-in-French − vowel-length-in-Japanese) for each sequential position. There was no significant correlation between sequential position and interaction size either in the RT (R² = .16), F(1, 14) = 2.60, p > .1, or in the error analysis (R² = .17), F(1, 14) = 2.91, p > .1.

In a second step, we ran ANOVAs similar to the ones reported earlier but restricted the analyses to the final block of 64 trials (after 202 trials). In this analysis, the interaction between language and contrast was still significant, both for the RTs, MinF'(1, 51) = 4.65, p < .04, and the error data, MinF'(1, 36) = 17.40, p < .001.4

Influence of language background. Inspection of our questionnaire revealed that the Japanese participants mostly had experience with French or English (one reported having studied some Italian and one some Russian). They all had begun to study these foreign languages in school after the age of 12 years. We divided the participants into two groups, one labeled low proficiency (7 participants) and the other high proficiency (9 participants) on the basis of the means of both their self-evaluation and our evaluation of fluency and pronunciation. High-proficiency participants could understand spoken English or French and sustain a conversation in these languages with good fluency and a moderate foreign accent, as assessed by the experimenters. Low-proficiency participants had trouble both understanding and being understood in English or French; some of them could not express themselves in either language.

We found that the proficiency factor introduced no significant effect nor any interaction in the analysis of errors (p > .1). In fact, the high-proficiency group displayed 4 The effects on the last block were similar in the same-talker and different-talker conditions both for the reaction times and the errors, although there was a nonsignificant trend toward a smaller magnitude of the effect for the same-talker condition (10 ms in the reaction times and 1% on the error data).
roughly the same pattern of errors as did the low-proficiency group (both showed 16% of errors in the epenthesis contrast).

In another analysis, we selected the 4 Japanese participants with the greatest proficiency in English or French (both self-rated and as evaluated by an external judge). The selected participants had lived in France or the United States for more than 4 years (1 was an English teacher, 1 was a student of phonetics, and 2 were university students in the United States) and were fluent in French or English. For these participants, the percentage of error on the epenthesis contrast was in the same range as that of the other Japanese listeners (15.9% on average vs. 4.7% for the vowel length contrast).

We also analyzed the linguistic background of the French participants. They all knew English (all had learned it after the age of 6 years). Some also knew German, Italian, Spanish, or Arabic. Note that none of these languages uses vowel length contrastively. However, English, Spanish, and Italian use stress contrastively, and vowel length is used as a cue for stress. We then tentatively divided these participants into two groups (high and low proficiency) according to their evaluation of their proficiency in these languages. We found no effect of fluency on the error data or on the RTs ($p > .1$).

More generally, every Japanese participant we tested in this experiment showed the epenthesis effect (i.e., each participant showed more errors on the epenthesis contrast than on the vowel length contrast). Such regularity was also true of the Japanese participants tested in Experiment 3. In contrast, 12 of 20 French participants (9 of 10 in Experiment 3) showed either no difference or the opposite pattern of behavior. In other words, the observed crossover interaction of language and contrast in the error data was highly robust and reproducible from participant to participant, at least in the sample we tested.

**Discussion**

In this experiment, we studied the effect of a talker change on the size of the language-specific effects reported in Experiment 1. We compared a novel talker to the same talker condition elicited significantly shorter RTs and fewer errors than did the different-talker condition. In contrast, we found a small effect on the previously reported interaction between language and contrast. We found that Japanese participants had more difficulty with the epenthesis contrast than with the vowel length contrast, and the French vice versa, regardless of whether the ABX task involved tokens produced by the same talker. This was even more remarkable because in the same-talker condition, a judgment of acoustic identity alone was sufficient to perform the task.

We also found that after more than 200 trials the cross-linguistic effects still held. Although practice had a powerful effect on both RT and error rate, it did not significantly modulate the size of the effects.

Finally, a post hoc analysis on linguistic background revealed no clear effect of fluency in languages allowing consonant clusters, such as English or French. That is, both fluent and nonfluent Japanese speakers showed an epenthesis effect of about the same size. Of course, although we used Japanese participants in France or the United States (in Experiments 1, 3, and 4), we did not use extremely fluent bilinguals. Even our high-proficiency participants had learned English or French after 12 years of age and had a noticeable Japanese accent in these languages. Whether extremely proficient bilinguals or more early bilinguals might show a reduced epenthesis effect is still unknown.

Finally, we address a minor caveat. When we compare Tables 1 and 2, the percentage of errors for the epenthesis contrast in Japanese participants is smaller in Experiment 4 than in Experiment 3 (16% instead of 32%, a significant difference, $p < .05$). Given that, individually, neither practice nor the nature of talker significantly reduced the epenthesis effect, why should such a difference still be found?

This apparent discrepancy may be due to the fact that two weak variables can nonetheless conjointly have a significant effect. Indeed, if we look at the first experimental block in the present experiment, we find that the epenthesis contrast yielded 28% errors for the different-talker condition, which is not significantly different from the 34% score of the equivalent first block in Experiment 3. At the onset of both experiments, comparable effect sizes were thus found for the different-talker conditions. In the next trials, however, divergences appear, as the score stayed at 31% in Block 2 of Experiment 3 but dropped to a value centered around 16% in Experiment 4. Such a drop was not found for the same-talker condition, which yielded an initial score of 12% and remained close to this value throughout Experiment 4.

In other words, there was an initial difference between the same- and different-talker conditions ($p < .05$), but, after the first block, the different-talker condition dropped to the same value as the same-talker condition. This suggests that it was only practice in a same-talker condition that reduced the size of the epenthesis effect in the different-talker condition. One might think that the same-talker condition should have allowed the participant to focus his or her attention on the right acoustic–phonetic cues, a strategy that can be used on subsequent trials. However, we have not demonstrated that, with more practice, listeners can achieve better performance.

The results of our experiments show that Japanese listeners, in contrast to French listeners, tend to perceive illusory epenthetic [u] vowels within consonant clusters. Indeed, Japanese participants had difficulty discriminating between stimuli that differed only in the presence of a French [u] vowel, with one that did (eba-[u]-z) and one that did not (ebatu)-z. However, French participants, unlike the English, easily discriminated stimuli that contained [u] versus two successive [u] vowels. The epenthesis effect was established but robust. It was present in each of the Japanese volunteers with whom we worked and was still significant even when the experimental setting was designed to help participants discriminate (Experiment 4). Moreover, the same-talker condition yielded lower error rates than the different-talker condition. Therefore, more research is needed to further explore this point.

**General Discussion**

The results of our experiments show that Japanese listeners, in contrast to French listeners, tend to perceive illusory epenthetic [u] vowels within consonant clusters. Indeed, Japanese participants had difficulty discriminating between stimuli that differed only in the presence of a French [u] vowel, with one that did (ebatu)-z. However, Japanese participants, unlike the French, easily discriminated stimuli that contained [u] versus two successive [u] vowels. The epenthesis effect was established but robust. It was present in each of the Japanese volunteers with whom we worked and was still significant even when the experimental setting was designed to help participants discriminate (Experiment 4). Moreover, the same-talker condition yielded lower error rates than the different-talker condition. Therefore, more research is needed to further explore this point.
changes the pattern of data. Needless to say, no tendency toward epenthesis was present in our French volunteers.

These results buttress the hypothesis that speech perception is heavily influenced by phonotactic knowledge. This complements and extends the work by Massaro and Cohen (1983). Indeed, not only does phonotactic knowledge influence the classification of the individual phonemes, but it can also induce the perception of "illusory" phonemes that have no acoustic correlates. Moreover, it does so in nongraded stimuli. This shows that the way in which the continuous speech stream is segmented into discrete phonemes is not universal but that it depends on what the typical pattern of alternation between consonants and vowels is in the language in question. In brief, when people perceive nonnative sounds, not only do they assimilate them into their native categories, but they also may invent or distort segments so as to conform to the typical phonotactics of their language. How could such effects be accounted for? We suggest two possibilities.

The first possibility would be to amend Best's (1994) perceptual assimilation model by stipulating that native categories are not (or not only) categories of single phonemes but also categories that span larger chunks of signal. For example, Mehler, Dupoux, and Segui (1990) have proposed the syllable acquisition, representation, and access hypothesis (SARAH), a model based on an array of syllable detectors. In this model, speech sounds are categorized into syllable-sized units. The repertoire of syllables includes the totality of the syllables used in the language. Similar proposals have been made for triphones (Wicklegren, 1969), diphones (Klatt, 1979), and semisyllables (Dupoux, 1993; Fujimira, 1976). In such a view, an account of the epenthesis effect is straightforward. For illustration, we use syllable-sized categories. Faced with a foreign language, people's perceptual system tries to parse the signal using the available native syllable categories. However, in Japanese, there are no syllable categories containing consonant clusters or codas consonants. A stimulus such as /ebzo/ therefore activates categories for "e" and "zo." It also activates to a lesser degree all syllables that start with /b/: "bu," "ba," "be," "bi," and "bo." Why is the "bu" interpretation favored? One possibility is that in Japanese, the [u] vowel is frequently shortened or devoiced and shows considerable allophonic variation (see Beckman, 1982; Keating & Hoffman, 1984). Hence, the prototype for "bu" is compliant and is likely to emerge as the best match. Note that such a model could help to account for the preference for [o] epenthesis after a dental stop ("batman"—"batomana"). In Japanese, dental stops become affricates in front of high vowels. Hence, there is no available "du" or "tu" syllable, only "dsu" or "tsu" syllables. In that case, one might then expect that the best match will be a syllable such as "do" or "to," for which the first consonant is not affricated and hence closer to the signal. Of course, this interpretation would have to be supported by further experiments.

A second and much different possibility would be to keep phonemes as the basic level in the perceptual assimilation model and to add an extra layer of processing that is allowed to modify the output of the phoneme detectors. For instance, Church (1987) proposed a parser that would yield a syllabified representation based on language-specific constraints. Indeed, in a study by Pallier, Sebastian-Gallés, Felguera, Christophe, and Mehler (1993), evidence was found that listeners build such a syllabified representation on-line (see also Pallier & Mehler, 1994). To accommodate our data, such models would have to stipulate that incorrect or deviant phonological forms are automatically regularized by the parsing device. The exact nature of the regularization routines, however, needs to be further specified. Note that such a proposal would predict a time course difference, and perhaps a brain localization difference, between phoneme-based assimilations and phonotactically based assimilations.

Obviously, more studies are necessary to determine which of these possibilities is correct. However, our findings already allow us to pinpoint some shortcomings of models that represent phonemes or subphonemic elements without any mention of higher order structures (Marslen-Wilson & Warren, 1994; McClelland & Elman, 1986; Norris, 1994). In such models, no direct effect of the phonotactic organization of the language being used is expected in processing, and our results are difficult to interpret.

Finally, we emphasize the difficulty that French participants experienced in dealing with duration differences. The French results are interesting because they suggest that not only the succession of consonant and vowel but also the precise timing of these elements is important. In Japanese, vowel length is contrastive, and words can contain up to four consecutive identical words (e.g., to, to, toko, toko). In French, by contrast, there are no pairs of words that differ only in vowel length. That a simple acoustic dimension such as duration has different functions cross-linguistically was also found by Takagi and Mann (1994), who studied the perception of English words and nonwords by Japanese speakers. In particular, they found that in English CVC syllables, tense vowels are perceived by Japanese listeners as long vowels (e.g., [gip] yields [gi:pu]), whereas lax vowels yield the perception of a geminate consonant (e.g., [gip] yields [gippu]). Hence, the mapping between the phonetic and phonological level involves more than a set of phonetic (or even syllabic) detectors but also relies on rhythm properties of adjacent phonemes over a large time window.

From a broader perspective, our research is consistent with other studies showing that it will be difficult to build a realistic model of speech perception that relies only on linear strings of phonemes. For instance, as Dupoux, Pallier, Sebastian, and Mehler (1997) already showed, the way in which suprasegmental information is perceived depends on the accentual regularities in the language of the listener. Spanish listeners have no difficulty swiftly responding to a difference in accentual pattern (vásum vs. vasa:uma), whereas French listeners are slow and error prone. Such an affect arises, we believe, because Spanish uses accent contrastively (bebe vs. bebe), whereas French does not. More research is needed to understand how models can be modified to take into account such higher order properties of signals.
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