

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

Cognition

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/COGNIT

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “What infants know about the unsaid: Phonological categorization in the absence of auditory input” [Cognition 152 (2016) 53–60]

Céline Ngon^a, Sharon Peperkamp^{b,*}^a *Laboratoire de Sciences Cognitives et Psycholinguistique (Département d'Etudes Cognitives, Ecole Normale Supérieure – PSL Research University/Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique), Paris, France*^b *Maternité Port-Royal, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France*

In the above mentioned paper, Sections 2.2 and 3.2 included the following erroneous results:

Section 2.2:

- mean number of analyzable test trials = 14.9,
- for initial accuracy of test phase: $t(30) = 3.25$, $p = 0.0014$,
- for overall accuracy of test phase: $SE = 0.03$, $t(30) = 1.69$, $p = 0.050$.

Section 3.2:

- for initial accuracy of training phase: mean = 0.02,
- mean number of analyzable test trials = 20.0,
- % attempted trials = 87,
- for overall accuracy in test phase: mean = 0.003.

The correct results are as follows:

- mean number of analyzable test trials = 15.1,
- for initial accuracy of test phase: $t(30) = 2.99$, $p = 0.0028$,
- for overall accuracy of test phase: $SE = 0.04$, $t(30) = 1.83$, $p = 0.04$.

Section 3.2:

- for initial accuracy of training phase: mean = 0.03,
- mean number of analyzable test trials = 20.1,
- % attempted trials = 88,
- for overall accuracy in test phase: mean = 0.006,

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2016.03.014>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sharon.peperkamp@ens.fr (S. Peperkamp).<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2017.06.013>