Corrigendum to "What infants know about the unsaid: Phonological categorization in the absence of auditory input" [Cognition 152 (2016) 53–60]
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In the above mentioned paper, Sections 2.2 and 3.2 included the following erroneous results:

Section 2.2:
– mean number of analyzable test trials = 14.9,
– for initial accuracy of test phase: $t(30) = 3.25$, $p = 0.0014$,
– for overall accuracy of test phase: $SE = 0.03$, $t(30) = 1.69$, $p = 0.050$.

Section 3.2:
– for initial accuracy of training phase: mean = 0.02,
– mean number of analyzable test trials = 20.0,
– % attempted trials = 87,
– for overall accuracy in test phase: mean = 0.003.

The correct results are as follows:
– mean number of analyzable test trials = 15.1,
– for initial accuracy of test phase: $t(30) = 2.99$, $p = 0.0028$,
– for overall accuracy of test phase: $SE = 0.04$, $t(30) = 1.83$, $p = 0.04$.

Section 3.2:
– for initial accuracy of training phase: mean = 0.03,
– mean number of analyzable test trials = 20.1,
– % attempted trials = 88,
– for overall accuracy in test phase: mean = 0.006.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.
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