A novel form of perceptual attunement: Context-dependent perception of a native contrast in 14-month-old infants
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ABSTRACT

By the end of their first year of life, infants have become experts in discriminating the sounds of their native language, while they have lost the ability to discriminate non-native contrasts. This type of phonetic learning is referred to as perceptual attunement. In the present study, we investigated the emergence of a context-dependent form of perceptual attunement in infancy. Indeed, some native contrasts are not discriminated in certain phonological contexts by adults, due to the presence of a language-specific process that neutralizes the contrasts in those contexts. We used a mismatch design and recorded high-density Electroencephalography (EEG) in French-learning 14-month-olds. Our results show that similarly to French adults, infants fail to discriminate a native voicing contrast (e.g., [f] vs. [v]) when it occurs in a specific phonological context (e.g., [oей] vs. [oеве], no mismatch response), while they successfully detected it in other phonological contexts (e.g., [oне] vs. [овне], mismatch response). The present results demonstrate for the first time that by the age of 14 months, infants’ phonetic learning does not only rely on the processing of individual sounds, but also takes into account in a language-specific manner the phonological contexts in which these sounds occur.

1. Introduction

Speech is an inherently variable signal: tokens of identical phonemes and words are acoustically distinct. When acquiring their native language, infants have thus to detect the equivalence of these different tokens in spite of their variability. For instance, the acoustic properties of consonants differ as a function of the following vowel. After only a few months of life, infants normalize this acoustic variation (Bertoncini et al., 1988; Hochmann and Papeo, 2014; Mersad and Dehaene-Lambertz, 2016). Infants must also detect which aspects of phonetic variation in the speech signal are meaningful, i.e. reflect distinctions among the phonemes and hence words of their native language. Numerous behavioral studies report that by the end of the first year of life, infants have learned to interpret which phonetic distinctions are relevant (or not) to recognize the phonemes of their native language (e.g., Kuhl et al., 1992; Polka et al., 1994; Werker and Tees, 1984). More recently, electrophysiological measures have confirmed these findings (Bosseler et al., 2013; Conboy and Kuhl, 2011; Peña et al., 2012; Rivera-Gaxiola et al., 2007a, 2005a,b). For instance, Peña et al. (2012) collected electro-encephalographic (EEG) measures in 9- and 12-month-old Spanish-learning infants using a mismatch paradigm. They computed infants’ auditory mismatch response (MMR), an ERP component that reflects the automatic detection of perceptual change in both adults (Naätänen et al., 1997, 2012) and infants (Dehaene-Lambertz and Baillet, 1998; Dehaene-Lambertz and Dehaene, 1994). In each trial, infants listened to a series of three identical syllables (\{d\}) that were followed by a fourth syllable that was either identical or differed from them in the first consonant. Crucially, the deviant consonant always differed on the same phonetic feature (i.e., place of articulation) but could be either native (i.e., [ba]) or non-native (i.e., [qa], with [d] a retroflex consonant that does not occur in Spanish). The authors observed that at 9 months, infants showed a MMR in response to both the native and the non-native deviant consonants; hence, they detected the phonetic change in place of articulation from [qa] to both
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native [ba] and non-native [da]. By contrast, 12-month-olds showed a MMR in response to the change to native [ba], but failed to detect the phonetic change to non-native [da].

Thus, by the age of 12 months, infants have lost the ability to discriminate non-native phonetic contrasts. This type of phonetic learning is often referred to as perceptual attunement (or perceptual narrowing). It should be noted that perceptual attunement likewise occurs in the case of native phonetic contrasts that are not used to distinguish word meaning. For instance, in English, the phoneme [t] is usually aspirated when it occurs before a vowel (as in stop [tɒp]), but it is unaspirated if preceded by [s], (as in stop [stɒp]). Acoustically, this unaspirated [t] is very similar to [d], which never occurs preceded by [s], and native English adults have difficulty discriminating the contrast between unaspirated [t] and [d] despite the fact that they both occur in their language. Importantly, English-learning infants discriminate the contrast at 6–8 months of age, but fail to do so by the time they are 10–months of age, thus showing perceptual attunement (Peggie and Werker, 1997). In a similar vein, English-learning infants lose their sensitivity to the contrast between oral and nasal vowels between the ages of 4 and 11 months (Seidl et al., 2009). While both types of vowels occur in their language, the contrast between them is never used to distinguish meaning: nasal vowels are phonetic variants of oral vowels, occurring before nasal consonants (cf. beet and bean, whose vowels only differ in nasality).

In this article we focus on yet another type of perceptual attunement, which has hitherto not been investigated. Specifically, depending upon the phonological context in which they appear, even native contrasts that are used to distinguish word meaning can be difficult to discriminate (Mitterer and Blomert, 2003; Sun et al., 2015). For instance, Sun et al. (2015) collected EEG measures in a mismatch paradigm and showed that French adults do not detect a change from [ofbe] to [ovbe] (i.e., they fail to show a MMR), despite the fact that the distinction between [f] and [v], a voicing distinction, is phonemic in their language and hence used to distinguish meaning (e.g., greffe [gʁɛf] ‘graft’ – grève [ɡʁɛv] ‘strike’); by contrast, they correctly detect a change from [ofne] to [ovne], as evidenced by the presence of a MMR. This result can be explained by the fact that in French, native voicing contrasts – such as the one between [f] and [v] – can be neutralized in certain phonological contexts. Specifically, in speech production, voiceless consonants can become voice when followed by certain voice consonants, a process called voice assimilation. For instance, the voiceless [f] in oeuf [œuf] ‘egg’ is typically produced as its voiced counterpart [v] in oeuf blanc [œvbfɛ] ‘white egg’, where it is followed by [b]. This process does not apply, however, in oeuf noir [œfnoœ] ‘black egg’, where it is followed by [n]. Specifically, French voicing assimilation applies before voiced obstruents (such as [b]) but not in other contexts (such as before [n]). The findings by Sun et al. (2015), then, show that this process affects speech perception: when presented with consonants in an assimilation context (i.e., followed by a voiced obstruent), French adults fail to detect a change in voicing. In other words, the capacity to discriminate a native contrast depends upon the phonological context in which the contrast occurs.

For phonetic learning, this raises the question of whether infants’ perceptual attunement is likewise context-dependent, taking into account language-specific phonological processes and the contexts in which they apply. Nine-month-old infants are sensitive to phonological context: they have acquired constraints upon the sequencing of phonemes within syllables and words in their language (i.e., phonotactics), and prefer to listen to phoneme sequences that are phonotactically legal or frequent as opposed to illegal or infrequent (Friederici and Wessels, 1993; Jusczyk et al., 1993; Jusczyk and Luce, 1994). Moreover, 14-month-old infants show some evidence of context-sensitive perceptual attunement: Japanese infants of this age perceive an illusory vowel within consonant clusters that are illegal in their language (Mazuka et al., 2011), similarly to Japanese adults (Dehaene-Lambertz et al., 2000; Dupoux et al., 1999). However, whether and how language-specific phonological processes (like French voicing assimilation) influence infants’ capacity to discriminate native phoneme contrasts in the context of these processes has not been investigated.

In the present study, we thus tested whether French 14-month-olds, who have already lost the ability to distinguish non-native contrasts, are also insensitive to the native voicing contrast when it occurs in an assimilation context. We recorded high-density EEG, using a mismatch trial design as in Peña et al. (2012). We analyzed the presence or absence of a MMR in response to a voicing change in two types of context, one that allows and one that does not allow for voicing assimilation. The MMR is particularly suited to study native phoneme discrimination, given its sensitivity to the linguistic relevance of the phonetic change in the participant’s native language (Dehaene-Lambertz and Baillet, 1998; Näätänen et al., 1997). If 14-month-olds have already developed sensitivity to the native process of voicing assimilation, they should – like French adults – exhibit a MMR in response to a voicing change only in contexts that cannot trigger voicing assimilation in French. Alternatively, if they have not yet developed this sensitivity, they should exhibit a MMR to a voicing change regardless of context.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Participants

Forty-three healthy infants (23 females; mean age: 427 days; range: 396–441 days) raised in a monolingual French-speaking environment participated in the study. All infants were born full-term (37–42 weeks gestation) with normal birthweight (> 2500 g). All parents gave informed consent before the study. According to parental report, infants had normal vision and audition and had no exposure to other languages. Forty additional infants were excluded from the analyses because of an insufficient number of trials (N = 27), parental interference (N = 2), or because they presented less than 13 artifact-free EEG trials per condition (N = 11). We received 29 others infants who did not provide any data because they refused to wear the net.

2.2 Design and stimuli

The stimuli were a subset of the ones used by Sun et al. (2015); they all had a vowel-consonant-consonant-vowel structure (V1C1V2C2V3). Given infants’ limited attention span, we used two out of the eight original item pairs, i.e. [ofbe]-[ofne] and [ikdo]-[ikmo]. Each of the precursor stimuli was spoken by four female speakers, while the test stimuli was spoken by a male speaker (we used one token per speaker); the use of multiple speakers promotes the detection of phonological rather than purely acoustical changes (Dehaene-Lambertz, 2000; Eulitz and Lahiri, 2004). All V1C1V2C2V3 tokens was created by means of crosssplicing of entirely voiceless C1C2 consonant clusters with entirely voiceless ones. Thus, in all stimuli (both precursor and test stimuli), C1 was always completely voiceless or voice across all the conditions. For instance, [ofbe] was created by combining [of]- from [ofpe] and – [be]
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Precursor (x4)</th>
<th>Test stimuli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ofbe-ofne</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>[ofbe]</td>
<td>No Change:  [ofbe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voice Change:  [ovbe]</td>
<td>Place Change:  [ofbe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Place Change:  [ofbe]</td>
<td>Place Change localizer:  [ofbe]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No-assimilation</td>
<td>[ofne]</td>
<td>No Change:  [ofne]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voice Change:  [ovne]</td>
<td>Place Change:  [ofne]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Place Change:  [ofne]</td>
<td>Place Change localizer:  [ofne]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikdo-ikmo</td>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>[ikdo]</td>
<td>No Change:  [ikdo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voice Change:  [igdo]</td>
<td>Place Change localizer:  [igdo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Place Change:  [ikmo]</td>
<td>Place Change localizer:  [ikmo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No-assimilation</td>
<td>[ikmo]</td>
<td>Voice Change:  [igmo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Place Change localizer:  [ipmo]</td>
<td>Place Change:  [ipmo]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from [ovbe], such that [f] was completely voiceless (see Sun et al. (2015); for more details). The duration of the items was normalized to 500 ms; the duration of the VC1 part varied (mean: 229 ms; range: 190–279 ms). Similarly, the intensity of the items was normalized to mean RMS amplitude (60 dB).

The stimuli were presented in series of five, with an ISI of 400 ms and a final 2100 ms of silence. In each series, four identical precursor stimuli (e.g., [ofbe] or [ofne]) were followed by a fifth stimulus. In the No Change condition, the last item was identical to the precursors; in the Voice Change condition, it differed in the voicing of C1 (e.g., [ovbe] or [ovne], respectively). Given the voicing assimilation process in French, a voicing change is expected to be perceived in [ofne]-[ovne] (No Assimilation context), but not in [ofbe]-[ovbe] (Assimilation context, cf. Table 1). We also used a control Place Change condition in which the fifth stimulus differed from the previous ones in its place of articulation of C1 (e.g., [ofbe] or [ovne], respectively). Given that those place of articulation changes are phonemic in French and do not reflect any assimilation process, they are expected to be perceived in both the Assimilation context (e.g., [ofbe]-[ovbe]) and the No Assimilation context (e.g., [ofne]-[ovne]); specifically, see Dehaene-Lambertz and Baillet (1998) for evidence that 3- to 4-month-old French infants are sensitive to a change in place of articulation.

2.3. Procedure

Infants were seated on their parent’s lap in a sound-proof room. To limit body movement, they were presented with silent animated cartoons on a screen, and/or passively observed the experimenter making soap bubbles, and/or played with a small silent toy during testing. They heard 240 trials of 5 items, 40 in each of the six conditions (two types of Contexts, i.e., Assimilation and No Assimilation; three types of test stimuli, i.e., No Change, Voice Change and Place Change). The order of the female voices for the precursor stimuli was counterbalanced across trials. Trials were presented in a pseudo-random order, such that no more than two consecutive trials used the same precursor. The experiment was run using the MATLAB Psychophysics Toolbox extensions (Brainard, 1997). The maximum duration was approximately 20 minutes, but the experiment was stopped earlier if the infant became restless.

2.4. EEG recording and preprocessing

EEG was recorded using the Net Station 4.3 (EGI software, net amp 200 system) and the EGI (Electrical Geodesics Incorporated, USA) 128-channel HC544N sensor net adapted to infants’ cranial perimeter. During the recording, the EEG signal was referenced to the vertex (Cz) and digitized at a sampling rate of 250 Hz.

After acquisition, data were preprocessed using MATLAB and the EEGLab toolbox (Delorme and Makeig, 2004). Recordings were digitally band-pass filtered (0.3–20 Hz) and segmented into epochs starting 500 ms prior to the onset of the first consonant of each test stimulus and ending 600 ms after it. For each epoch, channels contaminated by eye or motion artifacts (defined as local deviations higher than 80 μV) were automatically excluded, and trials with more than 40% contaminated channels were rejected. Channels containing fewer than 50% good trials were also automatically rejected for the entire recording. The artifact-free epochs (total mean number: 169, total range: 100–239, mean number per condition: 28, range per condition: 13–40) were then averaged for each participant in each of the six conditions (Context: Assimilation, No Assimilation x Phonological Change: No Change, Voice Change, Place Change), detrended, baseline-corrected (from 500 ms before the onset of the critical consonant C1), and finally transformed into reference-independent values using the average reference method (Luck, 2005).

2.5. EEG data analysis

Due to the multiple dimensions that can potentially be considered, ERP data analyses are especially sensitive to Type I errors (false alarms), notably when selecting the time window and cluster of electrodes to include in the analysis. To discard this multiple comparison problem, we used our control condition (Place Change) as a localizer to determine the time window and the topography of the MMR in our study. Specifically, we compared the recorded activity in the Place Change condition relative to the No Change condition, collapsing the data of the Assimilation and the No Assimilation contexts in order to maximize statistical power and avoid a selection biased towards one particular context. Indeed, a change in place of articulation should be detected in both the Assimilation and the No Assimilation contexts. We visually selected the time windows and electrode clusters featuring the difference between these two conditions, and averaged the voltage over the selected electrodes and time windows for each participant (Fig. 1). We then used the same time windows and electrode clusters to analyze our contrasts of interest in a 2 × 2 ANOVA with Context (Assimilation vs. No Assimilation) and Phonological Change (No Change vs. Voice Change) as within-participant factors.

3. Results

3.1. Determination of the channel-clusters and time windows of interest with the place change condition

The visual inspection of the two-dimensional reconstructions of the difference between the Place Change and the No Change conditions (averaged across both Assimilation and No Assimilation contexts) revealed two distinct negativity peaks around the right centro-frontal electrodes, including C4 and T8 synchronous with a positivity on the posterior electrodes. The first negative response lasted from 0 to 200 ms after the onset of the critical consonant (C1); the second negativity response lasted from 250 until 400 ms after the onset of the critical consonant (cf. Fig. 1).

We infer that the first negativity response is automatically generated by the detection of the acoustic differences of C1 in the first syllable of the precursor and test items (e.g., [of] or [ov] and [os] for the [ofbe]-[ofne] pair). Its bilateral topography and latency (0–200 ms) are compatible with the early auditory response usually observed in infants of the same age (Rivera-Gaxiola et al., 2007b; Rivera-Gaxiola et al., 2005a,b). The second response consisted of a right anterior negative pole and a reverse of polarity over the left temporal region. This topography and latency is compatible with a mismatch response, which is interpreted as an error signal between the predictions based on the repetition of the precursors and the actual perception of the target stimulus (Basirat et al., 2014; Bendixen et al., 2010; Lieder et al., 2013; Wacongne et al., 2012; Winkler, 2007). Given the nature of the
predicted effects (failure to detect a voicing change in a language-specific assimilation context), we thus focused our analysis of the conditions of interest on this second response.

We selected the corresponding electrodes cluster featuring the largest difference ($p < 0.005$ for each channel) between the No Change and the Place Change conditions. These clusters contained 17 channels (including F4, C4, P4 and T8; $t(42) = 3.25$, $p < 0.005$, see the black triangles on Fig. 1). We used the same time window and electrode clusters extracted from this localizer to test for the presence of a mismatch response in each condition of interest.

3.2. Analysis of the conditions of interest

The $2 \times 2$ ANOVA with context (Assimilation vs. No Assimilation) and phonological change (No Change vs. Voicing Change) conducted on the MMR response [250–400 ms] (cf. Fig. 2) yielded no main effect of Context ($F < 1$) but a marginal effect of Phonological Change ($F(1,42) = 2.85$, $p = 0.09$), as well as an interaction between these two factors ($F(1, 42) = 4.75$, $p < 0.05$). This interaction was due to an effect of Phonological Change in the No Assimilation context ($t(42) = 6.82$, $p < 0.05$) but not in the Assimilation context ($t < 1$). Thus, infants detected the voicing change in the No Assimilation context but not in the Assimilation context.

4. Discussion

We showed that 14 month-old French-learning infants’ capacity to discriminate a native voicing contrast is context-dependent. That is, similarly to French adults (Sun et al., 2015), they exhibit a MMR to a voicing change when the following sound is a nasal (No Assimilation context) but not when the following sound is an obstruent (Assimilation context). This, then, is evidence for a novel type of perceptual attunement. More specifically, the present results show that at 14 months of age, French-learning infants have not only constructed their native phoneme inventory, they have also acquired some knowledge about language-specific interactions among phonemes. Indeed, French voicing assimilation – a phonological process that changes the voicing of a consonant – applies before obstruents but not before nasals; infants have thus acquired this context-dependent neutralization of the voicing contrast.

A straightforward interpretation of our results is that infants compensate for voicing changes in assimilation contexts. That is, given that [ofbe] is usually pronounced as [ovbe], they automatically retrieve the form [ofbe] upon hearing [ovbe]. Direct evidence for such compensation at an older age has been shown with a word recognition task (Skoruppa et al., 2013). In this study, 24-month-old French toddlers were tested in a looking-while-listening paradigm. Target pictures of familiar objects whose name ends in a consonant that can undergo assimilation (e.g., an egg – French: œuf [œf]) were presented side-by-side with distracter pictures of unfamiliar objects. In the probe sentences, the target name was pronounced with a final voicing change either in an assimilation context, i.e. before an obstruent (e.g., Regarde l’œuf devant toi. ‘Look at the egg in front of you.’) or in a no-assimilation context, i.e. before a nasal (e.g., Regarde l’œuf maintenant. ‘Look at the egg now.’). Toddlers looked longer at the target pictures in the assimilation than in the no-assimilation context. Thus, they more often considered the assimilated form of the target words as a possible instance of these words when the context allowed for voicing assimilation than when it did not. In other words, they showed context-specific compensation for their native process of voicing assimilation (see Darcy...
et al. (2009), for a similar study with French adults). As shown by the EEG study with adults reported in Sun et al. (2015), compensation for assimilation in fluences not only word recognition but also phonetic discrimination. Using a subset of Sun et al. (2015)’s stimuli and an adapted paradigm, we provide evidence that this type of context-dependent perceptual attunement is already in place at 14 months of age.

These results leave open two questions concerning how and when infants acquire native phonological processes and hence become insensitive to native sound contrasts in particular contexts. Let us start by considering possible learning mechanisms. For the classic case of perceptual attunement to native sound categories, distributional learning theories (Kuhl et al., 1992; Maye et al., 2008, 2002) postulate that infants attend to the distribution of speech sounds in the acoustic space. As tokens of a given sound category cluster together, this would allow them to discover the speech sound categories used in their language. By taking into account the contexts in which the sounds of their language occur, infants could similarly infer which contrasts are meaningful, and hence distinguish words (Peperkamp et al., 2006). For instance, before knowing any words English-learning infants could infer that the contrast between oral and nasal vowels is not meaningful in their language by observing that nasal vowels occur before a nasal consonant while oral vowels occur in all other contexts. Noticing this complementary distribution, then, would result in loss of sensitivity to the oral-nasal contrast. Distributional learning mechanisms have been argued to be supplemented by top-down information. For instance, infants could benefit from consistent pairing of speech with visible objects (Yeung et al., 2013; Yeung and Werker, 2009), or they might use their knowledge about (real or even approximate) word forms (Feldman et al., 2013a,b; Martin et al., 2013; Swingley, 2009). Could distributional learning, with or without top-down influences, also account for the acquisition of native phonological processes and hence for the context-dependent perceptual attunement evidenced by our results? Note that unlike the English oral and nasal vowels mentioned above, French voiced and voiceless obstruents do not have complementary distributions. Indeed, they can both occur before, say, nasal consonants, where the voicing assimilation never occurs. Due to voicing assimilation, however, voiceless obstruents tend not to occur before voiced obstruents. Observing this distributional fact might be useful in the path towards the acquisition of voicing assimilation, but it is not enough. Indeed, in order for infants to infer that, say, [fb] is rare because it is most often changed into [vb] rather than into something else, they need more information. There are at least two (mutually non-exclusive) sources of top-down information they could use to infer the assimilation process.

On the one hand, infants might rely on word meaning. For instance, knowing that the phrases oeuf blanc, [œvbl̪] ‘white egg’ and oeuf noir [œfnwar] ‘black egg’ refer to the same type of object (i.e., eggs) would allow French-learning infants to deduce that [œ] and [œ] are different instances of the same word, and hence that, more generally, a difference in voicing before voiced obstruents does not signal a difference in word meaning. According to this rationale, this specific form of perceptual attunement would depend upon infants’ ability to associate auditory labels to a corresponding meaning. While words that can potentially undergo assimilation may be frequent in the infant’s environment (approximately 28% of the words contained in the French version of the Communicative Development Inventory, CDI (Fenson

---

Fig. 2. MMR obtained for the comparison No Change minus Voicing Change, in the Assimilation Context (legal context for voicing assimilation, A and B) and the No-assimilation Context (illegal context for voicing assimilation, A’ and B’), for the time window 250–400 ms. In each panel, the left side displays a 2-D map of the corresponding z-scores (A and A’). In these maps, triangles mark the location of the electrodes selected for statistical analysis. The central columns display the time course of the voltage averaged over the selected electrodes for each of the conditions of interest (B and B’). The rightmost part of the figure displays the graphical representation of this activity averaged for the whole duration of the response (C). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

---

5 They sometimes occur in this position, because voicing assimilation is not obligatory (Hallé and Adda-Decker, 2011).
et al., 2007) can undergo voicing assimilation, most of these words are not yet known at the age of 14 months: in vocabulary data for a sample of 103 monolingual 14-month-olds (mean number of known words: 74, SD = 64) tested in the same lab as those in the present study, only 13% of the assimilable words contained in the French CDI are reported to be known by more than a quarter of the infants, and only 2% by more than half of them. Thus, an account based on infants’ knowledge of word meanings appears unlikely.

On the other hand, infants may rely on a natural clustering of tokens of assimilable words: if both assimilated and non-assimilated forms of the same word often appear within a short period of time (for example, within a short conversation), it might be possible for them to consider them as two instances for the same word. Importantly, this mechanism is independent on infants’ knowledge of word meanings. Some support for this hypothesis is provided by data from an orthographically transcribed corpus of spontaneous conversations in the presence of young children, taken from the CHILDES database (MacWhinney, 2000). In this corpus, 7% of the word tokens end in a consonant that can undergo assimilation and of these, 10.2% occur in an assimilation context-dependent perceptual attunement. Specifi
cally, such context-dependent perceptual attunement evidenced here arises at the same age as ‘standard’ perceptual attunement, i.e. before the end of the first year of life. Alternatively, the acquisition of phonological processes might lag behind the acquisition of native phonetic categories. We deem this to be more likely, as it takes time not only to fully develop word segmentation abilities but also to gather enough data on clustered tokens of assimilated and non-assimilated word forms. We therefore predict that 12-month-old French-learning infants behave differently from the 14-month-old ones that we tested, and discriminate native voicing contrasts regardless of the context in which they appear.

5. Conclusion

To conclude, this research provides evidence for a novel type of context-dependent perceptual attunement. Specifically, we demonstrated that by the age of 14 months, infants’ native phoneme categorization does not only rely on the processing of individual sounds, but also takes into account the phonological contexts in which these sounds occur, in a language-specific manner. Further research is needed to determine the precise age range at which this context-dependent perceptual attunement emerges, and to understand the underlying learning mechanism.
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